



commerce
undergraduate
society

Commerce Undergraduate Society of the University of British Columbia, Vancouver

Board of Directors Meeting | 12:00 PM, Sunday, Sept.17 2017 | Henry Angus Building, Room TBA

Open to the general membership of the Society unless otherwise deemed in-camera.

Note: presentations and/or proposed motions from parties other than the members of the Board of Directors should be requested to be considered for inclusion on the agenda at least seven (7) days in advance of a meeting by contacting the Chair, Daphne Tse, at daphne.tse@cus.ca – materials received past this point will be considered for the subsequent meeting's agenda at the Chair's discretion.

A. Call to Order and Adoption of the Agenda

The meeting was called to order at 12:16PM

I. Attendance and Confirmation of Quorum

II. Amendments to and Approval of the Agenda

Motion to include absence of vice-chairperson at board meetings to discussion

Moved: AJ | Seconded: Matt

Motion to add "IT steering committee" to formation of ad-hoc committees under discussion

Moved: Erwin | Seconded: Angela

Motion to add "Online Allegations" to discussion

Moved: Daphne | Seconded: Victor

Moved: Jacob | Seconded: Angela

Be it resolved that the agenda be adopted as presented.

*In favour: all
Opposed: none
Abstentions: none*

... the motion carries

B. Membership: Introductions, New Members, Declaration of Vacancies

C. Chair's Remarks

D. Presentations to the Board of Directors

I. IT (12:10PM)

1. Creation of IT steering committee

1. Provide larger presence within the CUS
2. Audit existing IT processes to prioritize them

2. Current team is just Bob and Liam so it would be good to include members of board or execs on this committee (Maddie, Daphne, Bob and Liam right now)
3. If you have any background in IT or with computers, feel free to reach out
4. Michael: if there's someone who doesn't have background but wants to learn, can they be on the team?
5. Yes, definitely. The more perspectives the better.
6. Phoebe: requests that have already been sent in, do we need to send them again?
7. Liam: yes, any problems that have still not been resolved should be re-entered into the new system so that we can track it better
 1. Is this ad-hoc or standing?
 2. Ad-hoc
8. Want IT to become more strategic and utilized to help CUS reach objectives and goals
9. Many IT requests delayed. The requests have different difficulty levels and they are attempting to integrate processes to allow IT to function better
 1. IT website: check websites for previous requests/ questions that could help answer your question before going to Bob and Liam
 2. It is up and running
 3. IT messaging system: don't message on slack, request here. You will also get an estimated deadline for when the request will be handle
 4. IT ticketing hub
 5. Sapnil: will this ticketing hub be done through the website?
 6. Liam: yes, we are considering using a google form but it is still undetermined
 7. For more basic matters, there will be a FAQ section
 8. The way that IT has been set up makes it difficult for things to be changed
 9. Evan: when will the website be fixed?
 10. Liam: there are many issues with the website that can be changed by rewriting the coding but this may be out of the scope of IT

II. HR Recognition (12:40PM)

1. More focused on creating a value-based recognition program
2. Nomination form: nominator's information and nominee's information
3. New change: recognition values - Personal growth, inclusivity, innovation
4. Previously the form assessed the numbers of hours put in and the reason why they were nominated. It was difficult to determine who was the best nominee in the past because there were different things to compare
5. There will not be one winner but multiple.
6. Requesting access to do it on the CUS official page instead of CUS HR page
7. No monetary prize
8. Common concern: people can just nominate their friends. To fix this, they are going to create a filter that requires the nominator has worked closely with the nominee and the description must cover at least ⅓ bullet points of that value
9. Wants to get board approval on the 3 values selected
10. Victor: there are 5 rounds with 3 awards, so 15 awards?
 1. No it's not restricted to 3 winners each month. There is no limit to the number of people being featured on FB
 2. After it goes through the filter, each winner will be recognized on Facebook.
11. Victor: I'm concerned because of the sheer volume and with so many winners, it detracts from how special winning the award is. There is a fine balance between rewarding multiple people without taking away the meaning
12. Julyna: we have used previous data to look at how many nominations we will expect to get. We hope to get more nominations but we do not have a set maximum. After the first month, we will try to see how it's being used and set a limit then.
13. Maddie: can you have a cap and then any overlap would flow over to the next month?
14. Jacob: how much was the monetary award last year?
 1. \$25 gift card
15. Phoebe: my concern is the quality of nominations and we would over fill the CUS account with these

16. Julyna: my thought would be one album per month
17. Angela: consider adding in a word minimum to ensure there's enough detail
 1. Yes we could do this
18. Victor: who decides who gets awarded or not
19. Julyna: I have 2 HR specialists working on this atm. These 2 people decide who gets it. Now that you've brought it up, we may add another person

Motion to add Office Hours to discussion

Moved: Daphne | Seconded: AJ

*In favour: all
Opposed: none
Abstentions: none*

... the motion carries

Motion to take a 5min recess and reconvene at 1:05

Moved: Michael | Seconded: Simran

*In favour: all
Opposed: none
Abstentions: none*

... the motion carries

III. CUS Awards Commission (1:10PM)

1. Go to events, criteria to judge events. Heavily understaffed as there are so many events each week. Considering adding new people to the committee. Even having 3 more people, maybe BOD or MAL would be helpful
2. Michael: can we add people to a standing committee?
 1. We would need to change policy
3. Chris: although it's in policy, board of directors can change code with a 2/3 majority. It would be nice to update code and pass it at the next board meeting
4. Michael: we could add people today and have it as temporary?
 1. Chris: are there people you want to add right now?
 2. AJ: for september we are good but for october we need people
 3. Chris: the best way to go about this is for policy committee to put these people into code and next board meeting, have these people be ratified. This only works if you can wait until the 14. We just need to put into policy these new positions
 4. Maddie: there must be a way to have people be added in to attend the events without being on the committee
 5. If we open it up to MAL, would we need to restructure the committee
 6. Angela: Being on CUS Awards commission vs the actual service, what is the difference
 1. They also plan awards nights. But they still do go to the events.
 2. Maddie: the awards night team could recruit MAL to attend the events without actually being on the committee to review the feedback
 3. AJ: our main concern is attending the events, not reviewing feedback
5. Victor: Hilton reached out to me that there is a lack of consistency from the people reviewing events. Often times, they don't fully understand how the events are conducted and their perspectives are skewed
6. Daphne: I was there for the creation of Awards Committee. Before, it would be a free-for-all to review events. We created the committee to combat the inconsistency. We used to do an in-camera discussion of the events and they did not have an accurate gauge. Perhaps the issue cannot be solved by adding more people on
7. Victor: I had an idea to hire people on so that they are actually committed. This will raise the quality of people reviewing events. Or we could make the criteria we use to evaluate more standardized.
8. AJ: I just want to confirm that we want to hire more people.

E. AMS Representatives' Report

1. Last AMS meeting had Chris, Stanley, Daphne, Julien, and Simran
2. We mainly worked on appointments of committees and councils. All of the smaller faculties had their reps there. Siman is on HR and Student Life
3. There is a lot of new places to eat in the Nest
4. Safewalk partnered with Swiftwalk - it will record how many requests they get and track speed
5. Simran: I addressed the Imagine Day event at the AMS meeting. We discussed that it was more of a cultural change than an administrative change. Council concluded that it was bad and were in agreement that this should not have happened on campus. Some counsellors said that the CUS needs to have a solid plan on this. However in my opinion, it's not feasible to put something like this in code. I think what we need to do is set a good impression for younger students. In the middle of the discussion, the meeting ended because time was not extended. We also agreed that it wasn't progressive for AMS to formally address it but we did urge other faculties to pass this back to their faculties. We concluded that student leaders should be trained/ advised against this
6. Phoebe: was it student leaders who started this?
 1. A counsellor told me that they were told in their year to boo and cheer for certain faculties
7. Victor: we could try to precaution against this
8. Daphne: I thought this was inappropriate, which is why I posted it on my personal account. Many people have reached out to me personally to address the issues they've faced with being associated with Sauder. I am worried for how it affects first years
9. Simran: As upper year students, it doesn't affect us as hard because we know that it's not true. For first years though, they are quite impressionable

G. Commerce Student Senator's Report

1. If a professor does not handle a procedure appropriately, you can go to Senate and appeal

H. Ombudsperson's Report

1. Ombuds tab under student services on the website. A blog called "Omblogs" will also be up
2. I'm working with Fiza on creating a SparkNotes version of the meeting minutes to help with readability
3. I'm holding office hours Tuesdays and Thursdays 12:30-2
4. Awards Committee: developed new strategy for evaluation. We will be giving them a chance to present and plead their case to the committee
5. Daphne and I are looking into creating a PR workshop for board and execs.
6. IT committee - to be discussed later in discussion

I. Executive Reports

I. President

1. Busy with Sauder snakes publicity: met with Pam to discuss it. Cassie, a student engagement officer, will meet with other student leaders from other constituencies to discuss it. We shouldn't be insulting other faculties, it goes against UBC's inclusivity
2. Exec meeting on Tuesday: urge board members to attend. Timelines are quite different between execs and board - the sense of urgency is different. We need board members to step up on initiatives that execs don't have the capacity to work on
3. Reception for student leaders on Monday. This year we will have a president's council - it will help us see what works for other faculties, improve interfaculty connection.
4. Met with IT last week, worked on IT hub and IT ticketing
5. Exit interview with Andrew

II. Vice-President, Academic Affairs

1. First BCom governance meeting: committee to discuss issues in BCOM program. Avenue to discuss any issues with the program.
2. CMP hiring tutors, JDC had social tryouts, CCC hiring FYR

3. Asked Sapnil if we want to continue CCC X CUS case comp
4. Class Liaison starting evaluations soon

III. Vice-President, External Affairs

1. EMP finished hiring, Imprint and NSCC just started
2. NSCC working on sponsorship pitch
3. Albert focusing on CPABC sponsorship follow up and letting cr managers know about sponsorship blacklist
 1. Terminated contract with BYC, BYC then sponsored BizWeek. And so, we may create a sponsorship blacklist for sponsors that do not align with our values or compete with our own services.
4. AUS reached out to do a boat cruise, this could improve inter-faculty relations
5. Working on budgeting with Chantelle

IV. Vice-President, Finance

1. Discussing with Andrew about progression into role
2. Met with Cobie and Evan to work on hiring of AVPF. An applicant was chosen, will be announcing later today
3. In regards to AMS, the bank will be changed for our credit card. By the end of this term, we will need to change credit cards. This is not 100% yet but she met with Alim to talk about it.
4. For submissions to AMS, we are going to be more strict on what we are requiring for reimbursing
5. Creating a document for AMS policy

V. Vice-President, Internal Affairs

1. Sustainability rebranding - video out tomorrow
2. ShowPass: less freedom for editing and processing forms causing a lengthier process
3. After this round of lockers we hope it'll go smoother
4. Informal booking procedure document created and sent to Events Team. Formal form being reviewed by Events team, important for clubs and services to be aware of
5. Lounge problems: have not received reply yet
6. Matt Chiu has offered to take out garbage in exec office - it is now occupant's responsibility. No food and no drinks can go in there.
7. Club term reports is being worked on by Jacob and Kelvin - helps with accountability

Motion to add hiring upkeep for lounge to discussion

Mover: Jacob | Seconded: Angela

*In favour: all
Opposed: none
Abstentions: none*

... the motion carries

VII. Vice-President, Marketing and Communications

1. BizWeek went well: additional Prospectus' in exec office for people who missed out
2. Meet the CUS organized by Fiza went well. High engagement and people enjoyed networking

VII. Vice-President, Student Engagement

1. First POITS last week, hit capacity within 40minutes
2. Trying to go to as many events as possible
3. Supporting Daphne with online issues
4. Services are all doing well

I. Committee Reports

1. Maddie: it says in policy that each committee should be making a report every other board meeting

J. Amendments to and Approval of Minutes

1. Minutes

Be it resolved that the Board of Directors approve its minutes dated August 26.

Moved: Jacob | Seconded: AJ

In favour: all
Opposed: none
Abstentions: none

... the motion carries.

K. Business Arising from the Service Council

1. SPF Changes: changes are in effect, applications are due Sept.27. Decisions due Oct.6.

M. Business Arising from the Executive Council

N. Business Arising from the Committees of the Board of Directors

O. Other Business

P. Discussion

Motion to take a recess and reconvene at 2:00PM

Moved: Erwin | Seconded: Victor

*In favour: all
Opposed: none
Abstentions: none*

... the motion carries

I. Formation of ad-hoc committees

1. SPF Exploration Committee

1. Chris: UGO has their own set of funding. We have combined the application for CUS and UGO. There is a term 1 and 2 deadline. The questions on the application are quite similar to the current application
2. They sent out the update last week
3. This changes how we fund initiatives because there are many conferences that apply for recurring sponsorship
4. Before execs would decide on proposals under \$10,000. Everything else goes to board
5. We want to create 2 ad-hoc committees: SPF Exploration Committee and Conference Affiliation Committee
6. Current members of the SPF working group are Angela and Natalie
7. Chris: should the working group have the responsibility to review the SPF proposals and vote on them or should it remain in the hands of exec and board as done currently
8. Sapnil: I agree, under \$10,000 for execs and anything over goes to board
9. Chris: I formatted the terms of membership the same as Budget Committee
10. Phoebe: I can see why President shouldn't be allowed to chair SPF exploration because as a student, I would not be comfortable with that

11. Chris: to reiterate, this committee would be working on figuring out how the future standing committee will be working. They will not have power to give funding. They will create requirements for how the standing committee will judge proposals.
12. Chantelle: we are working on restructuring the VPF and AVPF role, could we reword the terms of reference so that both members are not required
13. Chris: Yes, I will edit it
14. At CUS Resource Night, they have said that if you are granted SPF, you cannot reapply for 3 years. I want to make a resolution that conferences who have applied for SPF in the past will not be held to the 3 year terms for this year.
15. This only works for conferences who have received SPF before. To summarize, they will be able to apply for SPF this year and it will not disqualifying them for next year.

The CUS recognizes that any conference who receives special project funding this year will be able to do so and still be eligible to apply next year.

Moved: AJ | Seconded: Erwin

In favour: all
 Opposed: none
 Abstentions: none

... the motion carries.

Appointment of members to the Conference Affiliation Exploration Committee

Be it resolved that Angela, Julien, Maddie, Phoebe, Michael (Chairperson), Natalie (Vice-chairperson), Jacob, Victor, and Daphne be appointed to Conference Affiliation Exploration Committee.

Motion to approve creation of the SPF Exploration Committee

Moved: Simran | Seconded: Jacob Kim

In favour: all
 Opposed: none
 Abstentions: none

... the motion carries.

Appointment of members to the SPF Exploration Committee

Be it resolved that Chantelle, Angela (Vice-chairperson), AJ, Victor, Sapnil, Chris, Natalie (Chairperson), Maddie, Michael, Daphne be appointed to Conference Affiliation Exploration Committee

In favour: all
 Opposed: none
 Abstentions: none

... the motion carries.

2. Conference Affiliation Committee

1. Will operate similar to club's affiliation
2. With affiliation, we need to determine if they get a certain amount or have it be dependent on BCOM students involved

3. Michael: we would need to choose the people for this committee pretty quickly
4. Chris: we could do this today. This year it is an ad-hoc committee but in the future, it'll be a standing committee
5. Phoebe: as clubs review chair, I agree with the fact that Conference Affiliation should go under VPI. I'm not sure capacity wise if they could do it at the same time. Criteria for membership I think should be the same

Motion for Board to create the Conference Affiliation Committee under the following terms

Moved: Angela | Seconded: Victor

In favour: all
 Opposed: none
 Abstentions: none

... the motion carries.

3. CMP Tutoring Hiring

1. Hiring requires a simulation of a review session: they require audience members who will score the tutor applicants on their delivery and content. They have reached out to Sapnil to help find members
2. Angela: how long would we have to stay for this
3. You can leave whenever you want but it's on Sept.20-21
4. Each interview requires 20min each so just stay for the duration of your review session. Let Sapnil know by tomorrow if you are interested
5. Angela: consider emailing AVPs about this too

4. Absence of vice-chairperson at board meetings

1. Chris: I just want to bring up to the board that if something happened to the chairperson, the vice chair is supposed to take over.
2. Michael: one occasion when I was unsure if I could make it, I reached out to Katherine and she did not reply
3. Victor: I don't think she knows that she needs to be here at each meeting. I think she thought she needed to be here on an as-needed basis
4. Daphne: Michael please email Katherine and let her know from now on we expect her attendance. If she is unable to come, we could reappoint a vice chair.
5. Simran: is their presence actually needed? Is this more of a "nice to have"?
6. If it's not in policy, we cannot force her to come
7. Angela: if they are supposed to take over the meeting, they should have context about what's going on. And so, they should attend the meetings.
8. This is the first time a member at large has been appointed as vice-chair
9. Daphne: do we want to add something into policy about this
10. Michael: I think we should make it policy to have the vice chair as a board meeting
11. Phoebe: I want to gauge if it's even possible for her to attend. If it's not in her capacity, let her know she can step down

5. Ad-Hoc IT Steering committee

1. Main point of this was to include 2-3 board members on the committee
2. Chris: can we develop the terms of reference first?
3. Terms: Until April 1st 2018
 - a. Membership:
 - b. Ex-officio members as defined by this policy
 - c. IT director
 - d. IT associate
 - e. (2) Board Members
4. Sapnil: he said that he wanted as many perspectives as possible, should we increase number of board members or MAL
5. Frequency: Discretion of Chair
6. Purpose: audit current IT processes and potentially add new projects

Motion to create an IT steering committee

Moved: AJ | Seconded: Jacob

In favour: all
 Opposed: none
 Abstentions: none

... the motion carries.

Be it resolved that Maddie, Michael, Bob, Angela, AJ (Chairperson), Jacob, Victor (Vice-Chairperson) to be appointed to ad-hoc steering committee

6. Office Hours

1. Execs on policy have office hours. Over my 3 years within the CUS I have notices that not many people utilize it. What I propose is that we get rid of exec office hours. The second motion I would want to make is that board members hold office hours instead.
2. We would put in policy that board members talk to people within their year during their office hours. This could be held at the executive office. Board is supposed to represent the students. During your office hours, board would have priority. Even if a student doesn't come in, you must still attend. Once a student comes in and wants to talk to a board member, all other people would leave the executive office.
3. Senate and AMS reps should coordinate and partnered year reps should also coordinate.
4. Julien: does it need to be in the office?
5. No, it is up to you
6. Daphne: I strongly suggest the office because it is highly visible
7. Phoebe: it's less work to advertise
8. Daphne: when I say this is time to meet with your classmates, I'm not talking about socializing with your friends. This is a concrete plan that can arise from this year, despite having a vague job description
9. First year reps will also be included on this
10. Simran: I think we all ran for this position without this requirement. I'm not sure what the structure is but I think it is up to our discretion because we didn't know when we ran. For the first year reps, we should notify them before they run.
11. Daphne: exec office hours are in code but we could get rid of it and create board office hours
12. Julien: I'm worried that we could be wasting time doing this if people don't utilize the hours. Maybe we should have flexible office hours.

13. Angela: instead of set office hours, we could rotate the year reps. I don't think students care about which year rep they talk to
14. Erwin: is this similar to coffee chats?
 - a. No not exactly
 - b. Board office hours are like Ombudsperson office hours.
15. Phoebe: if I wanted to reach out to my year rep and didn't know victor or jacob personally, I would go during office hours
16. Victor: I want to give them support and experiment with this - do a trial run before putting it into code
17. Maddie: to address Simran's concern, I might suggest we just do year reps because they are representing their year. Stuff like this takes time to get the word out but it's worth trying
18. AJ: I don't know the difficulty of codifying and taking it out
19. We could codify second term, test it during first term
20. Simran: I think it's worth trying for a year. If it has value, codify it. I don't want it to deter people from joining the CUS.
21. Phoebe: we should see how many people use the office hours but we should also get year reps to really push and advertise it
22. Julien: do it by appointment, reach out during class etc
23. Daphne: there's no metric to measure board's involvement. Beyond our year, we don't know who will be taking over. If you will commit to promoting and holding office hours, you need to truly commit.
24. Phoebe: I know when you ran for these positions you weren't aware of this commitment but you can tell people about this as a concrete deliverable. In contrast, execs have significantly more meetings and time commitments so put that into perspective
25. Simran: we should make a promotional video for this

Motion to get rid of Exec Office Hours
 Moved: Daphne | Seconded: Simran

In favour: all
 Opposed: none
 Abstentions: none

... the motion carries.

Motion to have board members to allocate minimum 1 hour per week for each Board of Director to engage with students, up to the discretion of the board member
 Moved: Jacob | Seconded: Julien

In favour: all
 Opposed: none
 Abstentions: none

... the motion carries.

7. Hiring Upkeep

1. Sauder building admin does not clean the lounge because it is student space. People were unhappy with the condition of the appliances within the lounge. The executives discussed finding a permanent solution for upkeep of the lounge
2. During the exec meeting, we are investigating hiring help to do this. Phoebe has reached out to Sauder cleaning services to do this, if we pay them more money. We are still searching for a party to do this.
3. If they are not willing to do it, can a board member research an external service to take care of it
4. Julien: why don't we hire a student

5. Simran: were we provided with reasons why they won't clean it?
6. We've already offered to pay them more money
7. Jacob has volunteered to research this
8. Phoebe: be mindful of the lounge. If you see it looking messy, just tidy up
9. Short term solution: we clean it. Michael has volunteered to do the fridge
10. Phoebe: if we do a mass tidy up, we will be okay with maintaining it once that's done

Motion to take a recess and reconvene at 3:25PM

Moved: Jacob | Seconded: Angela

In favour: all
 Opposed: none
 Abstentions: none

... the motion carries.

8. Online Allegations

1. Sauder reputation online

- a. Chris: We need to keep doing what we are doing in terms of operations and continue bettering the CUS. Although we get distracted by the online jokes, we need to stay focused on our work
- b. Daphne: I understand that there is a lot of online jokes and criticism through online posts. If anyone has any concerns or feedback for the CUS, please reach out to us personally @ president@cus.ca. We as an undergraduate society exist for students. We want to hear feedback. We want to be better. But we can only improve with constructive feedback and not just through anonymous posts. Also I want to say that It's unfortunate that this team is dealing with this negativity but we need to continue our mission of bettering the CUS and our community, because that's what we were hired and elected to do. As the head of this team I want to say that tough times don't last but tough teams do.
- c. Maddie: I think that it is a mistake to believe that we can affect these perceptions through a change within the CUS- we should constantly try to improve and implement constructive criticism.
- d. Michael: Whether or not people are buying into this joke, university is a time where people try to discover who they are and what they want to do with their life. It is not appropriate to demean people's life choices
- e. Simran: If you have concerns, we are implementing office hours to address them and feel free to reach out. We are trying to create an open, safe space.
- f. Angela: As an upper year student, please be mindful that this affects first year's experience. We should work on being more inclusive to all faculties, not just our own.
- g. Michael: everyone in this room who are representatives of the Sauder school - we understand that inclusivity should be emphasized across the entire campus, including Sauder

2. Specific online post - Reddit

- a. Michael: Whenever there is an incident affecting the safety of our campus, we need to be taking this seriously.
- b. Daphne: this is a direct response to a post on UBC Reddit. It discusses serious sexual allegations that occurred last year and I wanted to address it. As President, my heart really hurts hearing about this incident. We should not speculate who this person is but respect their anonymity. There are resources on campus to help deal with incidents of this nature and they should feel comfortable talking to any of us. On another note, this post makes me feel disgusted and we need to come together to help

this person and investigate the issue. I have brought it forward to the undergraduate office. This issue really hits close to home and I met great people through this organization, I don't support this at all. I would not have joined this organization had I known. I hope that you respect this person, we do not encourage this behavior. This is a time to come together and support them.

- c. Michael: I hope that we made it known to individuals that there are facilities on campus where you can find support.

Q. Next Meeting

The next regularly scheduled meeting of the Board of Directors shall be: October 14.

Adjournment

Moved: Jacob | Seconded: Erwin

There being no further business, be it resolved that the meeting be adjourned at 3:46PM.

In favour: all
 Opposed: none
 Abstentions: none

... the motion carries.

CUS Attendance

Name	Position	Present ("X")	Late (Time)	Proxy (Name)
Board of Directors				
Daphne Tse	President	X		
Michael Pratt	Commerce Student Senator	X		
Simran Cheema	Alma Mater Society Representative	X		
Julien Hart	Alma Mater Society Representative	X		
AJ Jha	Fourth Year Representative	X		
Matt Chiu	Fourth Year Representative	X		
Jacob Kim	Third Year Representative	X		
Victor Yap	Third Year Representative	X		
Erwin Hsiung	Second Year Representative	X		
Angela Dai	Second Year Representative	X		

	First Year Representative			
	First Year Representative			
Maddison Zapach	Ombudsperson	X		
Executive Council				
Sapnil Mohanty	Vice-President, Academic Affairs	X		—
Stanley Yu	Vice-President, External Affairs	X		—
Chantelle Fromager	Vice-President, Finance	X		—
Phoebe Wong	Vice-President, Internal Affairs	X		—
Chris Bolton	Vice-President, Student Engagement	X		—
Evan Zhou	Vice-President, Marketing and Communications	X		—
Other				
Natalie Yu	Administrative Director	X		—

Guests (optional)

Name	Affiliation	Present ("X")	Late ("X")	Notes
Invited				
Liam Adams	IT Director			
Other				