CUS Board of Directors Minutes
November 28th, 2011

Start Time: 5:40pm

Present: Jackie, Johannes, Graham, Aldora, Emmet, Armin, Mandy, Jacky, Lilian, Enzo, DavidH, Anna, Tim, (Dylan), (Sara), (Riley), (Pam), (Paola), (Alex)
Late: (DavidL)
Regrets: Klazina*

<u>Call to order and adoption of the agenda</u>

- Adoption of Agenda

Motion: Tim, Enzo

BIRT the CUS Board of Directors meeting agenda dated November 28th, 2011 is adopted for the meeting.

Voting Phase

For: Unanimous

- Attendance
- Approval of Minutes

Motion: Armin, Enzo

BIRT the CUS Board of Directors approve the minutes from the previous meeting of the CUS Board of Directors dated November 14th, 2011.

Voting Phase

For: Unanimous
Minutes are approved.

<u>Building Fee Communication Discussion (Pam Lim)</u>

Page 1 of 15

- A couple years ago, when all sources of funding including government funding for a new building was out of the question, the students ran a referendum to add $500 to the CUS fees to pay the mortgage for this building. That was approved, with the caveat that it wasn't going to be implemented until the building was completed and students got to use the building. The building is almost completed, it should be done in December, so it would be implemented in September 2012. We've been talking to the VP Marketing for the CUS to have a communication plan about this fee. A lot of students hear about this building and how the fee will affect them, because it is a CUS fee, we can work together to communicate it, but it can't just be us. We met with Chris and David Lam, and we need to start working this out. If you want the dean to be around to answer any questions during a town hall, and we'll be dealing with communications as well, enrollment students collects fees on behalf of the student societies, they're looking for a point of contact or if the students have questions they know to whom they should direct
- We were wondering about how you guys would like to do this, whether it's a newsletter, emails, a town hall for questions, and if we start planning now and you want the dean to be here to answer questions, we need to figure out a date and book his time now for February

Questions/ Discussion

- Tim: I think it's very appropriate to have a communication plan, from the CUS we didn't put much activity into a communication plan, we need to do what we can to work with the UGO and come up with this plan
- Enzo: not a lot of people know about this yet, but I do know people in second year like myself that are informed about this, and the initial reaction is very negative and they feel like they haven't really been told about this, I feel like having an official source is very important, especially due to the negative perception
- Johannes: to what extent were students informed about this?
- Anna: I think in a newsletter and then an email saying the dean will be open to questions would be good
- Pam: this is a CUS fee so this needs to come from the CUS, we still have a memorandum of understanding and a brochure, and we have a list of questions and answers put together to address the questions asked during the referendum, I can send that to you so that you can start putting together your communications
- Johannes: moving forward, as much as this was a CUS fee, there was a lot of cooperation involved in putting this together. The message we were getting was that 30% of the BCC's funding would get cut. I think a joint communication is the right message as opposed to just from the CUS, and I think this would be a stronger message
- Armin: I agree, considering our first year class is one of the most unaware classes considering we weren't here when this first came to be. You mentioned there were dates that dean Dan was available, what were these dates?
    - February 7, 13, 14, week of the 27th
- Pam: what would you think about having a newsletter?
- Enzo: if it's not clearly communicated that this was a legitimate referendum, people

might start to think this was an instant decision and could cause a bit of an uproar and displeasure. Would it be a good idea to communicate what would happen if the fee wasn't implemented? I think we're all in agreement that having the dean around would be useful

- Paola: this was approved last year right after the Olympics, we're dealing with two groups of students (first and second years) who are unaware of this. Having a strong collaborative plan, you need champions on your side for sure, and it's important that all of you know your key messages together, which is why we have past brochures, etc, it's about all of you being on board with the same messages, it's about word of mouth that happens between now and when your letter goes out. You have a lot of students in third and fourth year that supported this at the time, and the building they were in when this was approved is different than the building now
- Tim: I would hesitate in terms of saying what would happen if we didn't have the fee, I think it's important that this communication process is positive, and we're not trying to convince the students again that we need to have a fee implemented. We're giving them time to understand the context of the situation. I think also moving forward, we should appoint a specific person in charge of this, I think we can decide as a board
- Pam: one of the questions that will come up is how there's at least one student who asks if we had a referendum to implement the fee, why can't we have a referendum to take it back? We can't do that
- Johannes: lets have Chris MacDonald work with the UGO to assemble an appropriate communication system for the building fee starting in 2012 and have him report back to the board
- Johannes: our team does have exam season just around the corner, making sure we have our own resources as well, it's one thing to ask Chris to take this project, but he has a very hectic exam schedule, academics are a priority
- Pam: then maybe a team would work better, we brought this up two months ago
- Johannes: if need be, my exams are done December 6th
- Dylan: I understand the legal reasons are there, if someone wants to bring up the legal side and was really angry and didn't want to pay $500 a year, they could start a referendum, and we'd have to give them resources. As an executive and a board, we'd start our own "No" campaign
- Pam: that is true, but we need to get legal advice on this, there's a legally binding contract involved, this would be a breach
- Dylan: I'm just saying this could happen, we could help try and sway people, but if we're communicating the message we need to do it in the right way and focus on quality vs. quantity. The more we put it out there, it can confuse students and they may be hesitant
- Johannes: maybe we can have a drafted letter out by next board meeting?
- Pam: I think it's important for you guys to start the draft since you're students
- Enzo: if this wasn't approved, what would have happened?
  - We'd have the CA Hall, nothing else, only half of the building would be finished, no conference space, etc
  - The commitment to the building that the provincial government made was taken away

Executive Reports

- VP Finance
    - Johannes: could we get an analysis on the costs for coffee?
        - Yes; once I get the invoice I can do that
- VP Marketing
    - Lilian: could you clarify what you mean about too many sponsors being listed on the newsletter?
        - Johannes: I will ask Chris to clarify this. If you have any questions Graham will collect them and send them back to the board
- VP External
    - Mandy: what's happening with the TASTE program? Last year they paired us up with mentors by now, we don't know if it's still happening
        - Johannes: Valeriya is on that now, I can get her to follow up on that
    - Tim: what happened with IBC?
    - Jacky: with TASTE this was supposed to happen in November
- VP Academic
    - Dylan: I can't elaborate on the Dean's Selection Committee, it's confidential
    - Johannes: the Dean's Selection Committee isn't technically in the CUS
    - Dylan: For JDC, we had our MCC on Saturday, I've been noting which teams are, in my opinion, performing well, I'd say there are maybe one or two teams that perhaps may have some difficulties. Given anecdotal evidence from last year, we're doing quite well, due to hiring and the increase in involvement by coaches. There are a lot of coaches for the cases that we're doing; we see coaches going to other cases and providing feedback which is extremely useful, in terms of JDC people that are participating, they're doing quite well
    - Johannes: I'd like to see updates on ExCo Committee next time
    - Lilian: for the first item for current projects, the BCC and BCom survey are both different?
    - Yes; the BCom Survey is ready to go, I've been working on the BCC survey, I'm trying to ask questions from the CUS point of view vs. the BCC point of view for their survey
    - Johannes: with Ideas at Zero Dollars, every single position here is voluntary, but that's not an excuse for them not to be doing their job, if we want to see something happen we may have to question why we're keeping this alive
- VP Internal
    - Tim: where is the other remote control in the lounge
    - Johannes: pool cues are needed
    - Armin: the non-coffee drinks don't have stuff in the machine anymore
    - Dylan: I've learned how to refill the machines, for non-coffee drinkers, those should be working, at the same time I've expressed my dissatisfaction that Thirst First hasn't come to fix them
    - Aldora: a fuse blew and they need the circuit to be reset for the machines to work, every day we have to open the coffee machines and empty out the

grounds
- o Graham: this can be saved for the exec agenda
- o Enzo: I think selling mugs is a great idea, but will that cause any friction with the bookstore?
- o Graham: I don't think the bookstore will have any opposition to these being sold in our lounge, it'd be an issue to be explored but I don't see any immediate friction. It'd be an issue if we started selling shirts, etc
- o Johannes: Today has reached the dirtiest point in time, we have a certain standard, we need to ask how this will be up kept
- o Aldora: is the janitorial service not in charge of the coffee machine? I've asked them to wipe down our microwaves, and they say it's not part of their job description
- o Tim: it isn't unfortunately, they have a checklist, we are in charge
- o Jacky: I don't think you guys should be in charge of this
- o Riley: I can do it and start recycling
- o Tim: I commend Riley, but unfortunately we wont have people like him coming forward every year. Are there not maid services we could use? Could I recommend Julie look into cleaning supplies and cleaning services? I will bring it up with the building committee as well
- VP Engagement
  - o Johannes: how is Sauder Squad going?
  - o Sara: they're hiring right now, with Wally the Bull they're going to get started next year and work with people throwing events, and you can ask them to promote your event through them
  - o Johannes: with the grad event, I was supposed to deejay and not many showed up, for the marketing what steps should be taken?
    - ▪ Sara: a lot more people came after you left, it's just tough to market right now
  - o Armin: with the Frosh logo issue, what was the reaction from sponsors?
    - ▪ Sara: we're going to try and fix that, I think Andrea and Ethan are doing a good job with this
- Presidential: TBA

General Attendance and Student Involvement

Motion amendment to agenda

For: Unanimous
Abstain: Johannes

- Sara: as of late, there have been a lot of different events going on, but the attendance has been low, a lot of events coming from my portfolio have the exact same people showing up every time, and it's been disappointing, and nobody gets excited about events anymore. Students only have so much time to go to these events, in terms of

strategic vision making, should we be asking our service council to cut down? Focus on bigger events instead? Should POITS only been working once every month or two?

Questions/ Discussion

- Armin: what would you guys think about putting a cap on the number of things they can do? Would that be allowed or feasible?
- DavidH: I think a better way of going about this, is having a conversation that needs to be had. With the service council people not having people show up, it's hurting them too. Not every conference needs four workshops leading up to it. I think this is a conversation the service council needs to have with each other. We need a master calendar because there are so many conflicts, I think we're over exhausting the student body. With more focused time and giving them more marketing, that would be more beneficial
- Anna: are there calendars for these things?
- Johannes: yes
- Anna: it would be useful to see it visually
- Johannes: the conferences do good surveys after the events, if we have more data about why people are or are not going to events, I think having that will be useful to inform people for next year
- Sara: right, I'd like to know more details about what people would like to see, and what's oversaturated. I want to make sure we're still reaching those people that don't get anything out of the CUS normally. The fewer events with more hype, the better the turnout. I can communicate this to my portfolio, and if we could bring this up at the service council meeting in January that'd be great
- Enzo: I agree, a lot of the alumni were talking to us about lack of attendance, IBC for example, I didn't make an effort to find out about it, and didn't know about anything that they were offering. I think there's a lack of marketing and sales effort. MeInc has always been successful, and it's because of a strong presence with the first and second years
- Johannes: why are we having events in the first place, and what are we looking for? When we're measuring the feedback mechanism, we need to target what defines their "quality"
- Sara: some events might be better if there are just twenty people of course. I looked at Sprott and they have a smaller budget than us, and they focus on certain events throughout the year, and everyone knows about these events and they're very well known, I'd love to see that in Sauder. I'd love to start defining events more
- DavidH: with POITS, we need to be more strategic to build up the hype, instead of having every second week, if we place it once a month during say, Oktoberfest or at the end of midterms or the start of finals, it amps it up and there's a reason to go to it. Besides things like POITS, we should make things a tradition, like MeInc. Traditionally Ignite is at the start of the year, maybe we could make it so there's a kickoff for all years and then break off between upper and lower years, I think it's something we should consider, and making a concentrated effort to find value
- Dylan: While I do see a lot of these points, I think there's a shift in how we're marketing

events to people as well. I see less effort in promoting some events as I have seen in the past, maybe that's because there were fewer events before, but I do see Sara's point where we're getting to the point that we're so large, we're starting to branch out too much, now we're focusing on quantity vs. quality. People that go to these events have great experiences, but not enough people are going. Two or three years ago, we rarely talked about attendance at events unless it was very poorly run.

- Johannes: what would be the best way to address this? I'd say we put this in the proceedings with the survey that Tim is creating
- Tim: the survey is going to be geared towards how students are feeling about the services we're providing

Committee Reports

- CSSEC
  - *No questions*
- GRTF
  - Johannes: we need to find a better meeting time; I'd love to get more feedback on the main issue regarding the extent that we want to have alumni on the board, and if people are okay with this; Selene and Eunice recommended we not implement it right now and focus strategically on issues, to what extent should we focus on getting alumni on this board?
  - Armin: wouldn't it be better to get everything set in stone and tidied up before we bring in alumni? If we bring in alumni and are still fixing things up, it might look bad
  - Johannes: it would make things easy for the constitutional changes, at the same time there's still a lot of value to bring alumni on board. At NBSC there was a consensus that every board should have an alumni board
  - Johannes: I'll bring it back to the GRTF saying that it'd be better to focus on janitorial things vs. alumni
- Building Committee
  - *No questions*
  - Tim: 24/7 access is going to happen for the first floor

Contributed Surplus Investment Update- DavidL

- The SUS and AUS are willing to contribute, Elin is talking to alumni lawyers, and when he gets more insights, then he and Klazina will bring this back to the board

Decline in Sponsorship Funding

- Johannes: I recommend we ask Andrea and Ethan and the CR Team to come to the next meeting and give us an update on sponsorship up until to this point

NSpire Partnership- Chris

- *Chris is looking for more information regarding this matter*

Amendments to Board Procedures- Graham

Electronic Devices at Board Meetings

- The point was to make meetings more efficient
- Armin: I disagree with this being efficient, if I need to pull something up it's faster and more sustainable
- Emmet: I agree with Armin
- Enzo: we had a problem with this last school year and I was talking to Klazina about this and think it's gotten better, it's not as much of a distraction and we've now added personal accountability to this, the idea of binders and not being able to access powerpoints on the computer is inefficient
- Lilian: I think we're mature enough to hold ourselves responsible and accountable
- Armin: we could ban the use of cell phones?
- David: sometimes you don't use a computer to access things, I think they should stay
- Armin: I agree you should keep them if you're phoning someone in
- Mandy: if it's not important then we can hold each other accountable
- Emmet: it's the same as a laptop, we hold each other accountable either way
- Graham: we'll keep them in the meetings then

Adopt New Agenda Structure

- Enzo: no opposition, I like the structure, I think we can keep the Google doc if we need to
- Graham: I think it's better to keep this new structure or the other
- Lilian: I'd vote to adopt this structure

Motion: David, Mandy

BIRT the new CUS Board of Directors agenda is adopted into all future Board of Directors meetings of the 2011/2012 year.

Voting Phase

For: Unanimous

Motion passes.

Admin and Attendance

- Graham: a board member can't miss more than two meetings without notifying myself or Jackie. If they miss more than two they can be removed by referendum from the board

- Graham: you probably shouldn't be missing two or more without reason. Your attendance is expected for the constituents that voted you in
- Johannes: it's hard to keep people accountable, what's going to happen though? Will there be a referendum?
- Graham: we're going to be much stricter on attendance now
- Johannes: human behavior can only be affected if there is a clear and tangible outcome, what is the consequence if we don't abide to the constitution?
- Graham: would the board like to clarify if this is two meetings in a row or two overall. We can't change the constitution, but we can make it a policy of this board to act accordingly
- DavidH: we should assume that it's two unexcused meetings
- Armin: excusable absences is subjective
- Graham: the speaker shall issue written notification should the board member miss a board meeting without notification.
- Armin: the ramification is that there would be a "ramification" in the constitution which is very vague
- Johannes: on the AMS board, if you miss a certain quota of meetings, you get kicked off council. I think that's a good way to do it
- David: I think the task force committee should look at this
- Graham: I will review the constitution and come back with the ramifications, but written notification will be provided and I'll do that for this meeting and meetings to follow. I will bring these to the next meeting.

CUS Policy Updates- Jacky- see attached

Elections Policy Amendments

- We've asked to have the voter's guide available to the student body electronically and in print, and pictures be taken by the Elections Committee to standardize the photos and a 250 word limit

Questions/ Discussion

- DavidL: that's a lot of money for a Voter's Guide
    o It should be an official document and that's what we're trying to address, we may do a trial basis where the guides are online and then shift to print
    o We don't expect the guides to be fancy, black and white and simple
- Johannes: who is responsible for this, and the Cavalier is supposed to do this every year
    o We want this to be independent and official from the CUS instead of a newspaper document, we're not trying to replace or compete with this document
    o The main appeal is that it gives you an official source to get the information, people weren't sure where to go for information during elections, the main thing about this is that things are easily accessible
    o The Elections Committee would be in charge of this as per their request

- Armin: for the booklet that will be distributed, that's one for every student?
  - Yes, that's what we hope
- Armin: 250 words seems like too much
  - We can change this
- Johannes: have you seen what the Cavalier used to do?
  - Yes, we want it independent
- David: you have to pick up the Cavalier, these are given to you
- Riley: there is a point to be made about redundancy with regards to the Cavalier
  - We wouldn't be force distributing the Cavalier, we'd be insisting they take the guides
  - In terms of a pilot run, we may try electronic distribution, the ultimate goal is to improve voter turnout and engagement and confidence
- Alex: I think this is in regards to redundancy as mentioned before, it's redundant to print an additional document when the Cavalier is done
- Emmet: I think this would replace oversaturation with emails and electronic messaging of the same information, I'd say this would reduce redundancy
- DavidH: could we collaborate with the Cavalier?
  - We want a uniformed coordinated online email effort that everyone gets in their inbox, we're pushing for print as well, but as a committee the main push is the online form
- Johannes: I think there is precedent for this having been done electronically and in print, I know that the difference between the Ubyssey coverage in candidates and the Cavalier is that the Ubyssey would do write-ups about the candidates vs. the Cavalier asking people to email them their information
  - We need an independent document for an election
- Alex: this is the CUS student newspaper, when it comes to promoting elections, there's always that tone of neutrality, it's unbiased
- Tim: I agree with Alex, for the average student, if I'm given the choice of media communication for impartiality, looking at a document and voter's guide provided by the CUS elections committee would have more neutrality and impartiality than the Cavalier, I think this is where Jacky is trying to nail home the point, this needs to be independent, the CUS elections by definition grants them neutrality, and for that reason I'd support the guide being independent. However, with this voter's guide in place, I think the Cavalier will have more freedom to discuss how they want to share the candidates' information whether it's in print or by interview. I think this way you can use both mediums to compliment each other. You'll be able to have more leeway in creativity
  - I agree, I'd encourage the Cavalier to evaluate these candidates
  - It's there for the sake of information, and the Cavalier can write on the candidates and interview them, we're looking for a simple clean document to gage interest

Amendments

Motion: Jacky, Armin

Friendly amendment to change word count from 250 to 200 in the given policy
Friendly amendment to not be subject to uniform dress code in the given policy

Voting Phase

For: Unanimous
Abstain: Tim

Motion passes.

Voting Phase Elections Policy

Motion: Enzo, Armin

- *Be it resolved that that a Voter's Guide be available in print and be electronically distributed to the entire student body. This Voter's Guide shall:*
    - *Have a picture taken by the Elections Committee or a designated photographer, subject to background and framing requirements for all candidates.*
    - *Have no more than 200 words of self-written biography.*
    - *Be available within 3 business days of nominations received, and must be available for distribution before the campaign week.*
    - *Be distributed by Class Liaisons.*

Voting Phase

For: Unanimous

Motion passes.

Elections Campaigning Amendment

- We'd like to stop campaigning during class time and telling people to vote on Vista

Questions/ Discussion

- Armin: we couldn't go up to people and tell them to vote on Vista, but I don't see a problem with talking to people during elections
- Aldora: I don't see a problem either, the rules are already in place
- Lilian: I think by removing this you could impact voter turnout
    - We don't mind withdrawing this
    - The main thing is to not have the Vista push
- Johannes: the policies are already in place

Motion is withdrawn.

Elections Tie-Breaking

- The current tiebreaker is through coin toss, we'd like to remove this. We want the best candidates in office

Questions/ Discussion

- Armin: did you look into what would happen if there was a re-vote?
  - The elections officer screens it
- Johannes: what type of voting system are we using?
  - Most likely first past the post, but unconfirmed
- Alex: if there's a tie between two candidates is it a runoff election between the two ties?
- Johannes: the AMS uses Condorcet voting where they rank the candidates, if we do this then we resolve this problem
- Johannes: I believe efficiency is important, as is fairness, if there's a tie, should there be a runoff or is it just between the two people? I'd move to Condorcet rankings, it's more fair and I'd be opposed to this motion
- Armin: I agree

Motion: Jacky, Tim

- *Be it resolved that electoral ties be resolved by a re-vote. The re-vote shall be held within 2 business days.*

Voting Phase

For: Tim, Anna
Against: Lilian, Armin, Emmet, David
Abstain: Jacky

Motion Fails

Administration and Procedures Policy

- The current policy says we're not liable for things like acts of God, etc; we'd like to say we're not responsible and we'd like to remove it

Motion: Jacky, Emmet

- *Be it resolved that the Commerce Undergraduate Society is not responsible for delegates missing any portion or all of a service due to, but not limited to, changes in*

arrangements and medical emergencies. All disputes shall be resolved by the Ombudsperson.

- *Be it resolved that Section 4e, from i to x, be removed from the CUS Constitution.*

Voting Phase

For: Tim, Lilian, Mandy, Armin, Emmet
Opposed: Anna
Abstain: Jacky

Motion passes

Grammatical and Syntax Errors

Questions/ Discussion

- Anna: if you change grammar, it changes the sentence, I don't know if we should phrase so that we include grammar or not
- Tim: we'll have the final say though

Motion: Jacky, Johannes

- *Be it resolved that the Policy Committee be allowed to revise grammatical and/or syntax errors in policy documents without the consultation of the Board of Directors. All final revisions be submitted to the Board of Directors for approval.*

Voting Phase

For: Unanimous

Motion Passes

Branding and Communications Policy

- If you're an appointed person and you violate this policy, you'll get a verbal warning from the VP Marketing, then Johannes, and then you're terminated. For an elected member, we want to change to make them have the same consequences

Questions/ Discussion

- Lilian: friendly amendment to motion, changing bullet point 5 to four and making the wording a "fourth offense"
- Armin: do you think four offences is quite a bit?
- Dylan: this is subjective regarding what an "offense" is

- Tim: these steps are helpful, it shows a more streamline process of punitive measures, at the end of the day, this doesn't confine us but gives us a process

Motion: Jacky, Armin

For Section 9 of the CUS Constitution, be it resolved that section 4 of section ii be removed.

Voting Phase

For: Unanimous
Abstain: Jacky

Motion passes

Endorsement During Elections

- You can endorse individuals but the CUS can't endorse candidates

Questions/ Discussion

- Dylan: do you think that the spirit of this may be that if you're endorsing someone, most people know I'm VP Academic, people will think I as VP Academic am endorsing someone.
- Armin: if you're VP External and hire the heads of conferences and run for presidents and you get them to endorse you because you hired them. That could be an issue.
- Anna: I think it's redundant
- DavidH: I think this could cause unnecessary politics and bickering between students
- Dylan: I think that there was some ambiguity in last year's elections, just because someone was in their campaign photo it looked like they were endorsing them
- Dylan: if slates are allowed, the pitch doesn't matter

Motion to table: Johannes, Armin

Voting Phase

For: Anna, David, Lilian, Mandy, Armin, Emmet
Opposed: -
Abstain: Tim

Motion is Tabled

Elections Policy- Slating

Questions/ Discussion

- Dylan: if you're going to allow slating, just allow this motion and not endorsement. You can discuss whether slating is a good idea or not. I think either people like it or don't like it
- Armin: a third option is what's in place right now, which I believe is that there is no slating and you can't get people to endorse you.
- Alex: I agree with Armin, I think slating is detrimental to the integrity of the elections, when candidates slate they feel pressured to vote for a group of candidates, and when it comes to clubs this can be detrimental as well
- Dylan: that's a great con of slating, but a pro is that you have a group of three people that have decided to work in a team well together, and then run as a complete team with a team vision, there's less transition and they're ready to go. I'm not saying we should or shouldn't have this, but it's one of those issues that's debated all the time.
  - The intent is to make this more simpler, how do you control for that?
- Johannes: I motion to table this and adjourn

Exec Reports Published Online

- *Consensus:* These will not published online
- David: I'd like to motion to keep these confidential and internal to the board

Motion: David, Armin

BIRT the CUS Board of Directors committee and Executive Council reports remain confidential and used for internal purpose. BIFRT the CUS Board of Directors committee and Executive Council reports remain unpublished on the CUS website for the 2011/2012 year.

Voting Phase

For: Unanimous

Motion passes

Next Meeting: TBA
Adjournment
    BIRT the meeting is adjourned

End time: 8:25pm

Elections Policy
- Be it resolved that that a Voter's Guide be available in print and be electronically distributed to the entire student body. This Voter's Guide shall:
  - Have a picture taken by the Elections Committee or a designated photographer, subject to uniform dress code, background and framing requirements for all candidates.
  - Have no more than 250 words of self-written biography.
  - Be available within 3 business days of nominations received, and must be available for distribution before the campaign week.
  - Be distributed by Class Liaisons.
- Be it resolved that in-person campaigning be suspended during the voting periods.
- Be it resolved that electoral ties be resolved by a re-vote. The re-vote shall be held within 2 business days.
- *Be it resolved that candidate slating be permitted. (We would like the Board to discuss this, this proposed motion is not expected to go through on Nov 28).*

Administration and Procedures Policy
- Be it resolved that the Commerce Undergraduate Society is not responsible for delegates missing any portion or all of a service due to, but not limited to, changes in arrangements and medical emergencies. All disputes shall be resolved by the Ombudsperson.
- Be it resolved that Section 4e, from i to x, be removed.

For All Grammatical or Syntax Errors
- Be it resolved that the Policy Committee be allowed revise grammatical and/or syntax errors in policy documents without the consultation of the Board of Directors. All final revisions be submitted to the Board of Directors for approval.

Branding and Communications Policy
- For Section 9, be it resolved that section 4 of section ii be removed.